

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/583,062	CALATZIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1777	

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst.

(2) Jeffrey Wilson (Appl. Rep.).

Date of Interview: 19 October 2010

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 25-26, 28-30

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 1 and 8, and to cancel non-elected claims 25-26 and 28-30 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1777

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)